UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,257	09/20/2006	Janne Aaltonen	006559.00007	4526
22907 BANNER & W	7590 10/26/201 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI SUITE 1200		MITCHELL, DANIEL D		
	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2477	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/529	,257	AALTONEN, JAN	AALTONEN, JANNE			
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit				
		DANIE	MITCHELL	2477				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. by period will apply an by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC, event, however, may a rep d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 18 August 20	10					
		☐ This action is						
′=	,			rs prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	,				
		are pending in th	o application					
•	Claim(s) <u>25-28,31-36,39-44 and 47</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24,29,30,37,38,41,45 and 46</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>,50,41,45 and -</u>	15/are withdrawn	nom consideration.				
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>25-28,31-36,39-44 and 47</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ire rejected.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	n requirement					
<i>ا</i> ل	ciain(s) are subject to restriction	ir and/or election	rrequirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2006</u>	is/are: a)⊠ aco	epted or b) 🔲 obje	cted to by the Examine	r.			
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is req	uired if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International	l Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the ce	ertified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	048)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-0-1 0)		ormal Patent Application				
-	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	<u>-</u> ·				

Art Unit: 2477

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on 8/18/2010 has been entered. Claims 25, 26, 27, 31, 33, 34, 35, 40, 42-44, and 47 have been amended. Claims 1-24, 29, 30, 37, 38, 41, 45 and 46 are canceled. Claims 25-28, 31-36, 39-44, and 47 are still pending in this application, with claims 25, 33 and 40 being independent.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue on pg. 6 the allowable subject matter has been incorporated in each set of independent claims. However the Applicant's amendment includes the allowable subject matter in an alternative form within the claim, where the allowable limitation is not a requirement but an option. In particular, the claim states "wherein the predetermined condition is one of" where if any of the options of the claim are rejected, the claim as a whole will be rejected. Therefore the claim is not in proper form for allowance. Applicant has submitted no further arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2477

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 25-27, 31-35, 39-43, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maggenti (US Patent No. 6,633,765 B1) in view of Kanterakis (US Patent No. 7,099,346 B1) in further view of Dan et al. (US Patent No. 5,561,637), hereinafter referred as Dan.

Regarding claim 25, Maggenti teaches an apparatus (fig. 8) comprising: a processor (col. 15 lines 60 teaches a processor 806); and a memory storing program instructions, the memory and the program instructions configured to, with the processor, cause the apparatus at least to perform (col. 15 lines 60-63 a memory for storing instructions);

process data received from a first host (col. 15 line 49 to col. 16 line 20 teaches element 804 to receive data);

cause transmission of said data to one or more further hosts (col. 15 line 49 to col. 16 line 20 teaches element 802 to transmit data);

define a group comprising one or more further hosts, wherein a further host is added to the group in response to the reception of a request (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches a base station receiving a request from a mobile device for joining a multicast group; col. 15 line 60 teaches further managing the multicast groups); and

storing received data (808 teaches a storage device for forwarding data),

Application/Control Number: 10/529,257

Art Unit: 2477

wherein said apparatus is configured to forward the data to said further hosts in said group, and wherein the processor is configured to limit the group to further hosts situated at the same location (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the multicast group is limited to the geographic region of the serving base station).

Page 4

However Maggenti does not expressly disclose a cache, wherein said apparatus is configured to store received data in the cache until a predetermined condition is met and, in response to the meeting of this condition, to forward the data to said further hosts in said group; wherein the predetermined condition is one of a receipt of a predetermined number of request and expiry of a time limit.

Kanterakis teaches in col. 3 lines 60 to col. 4 line 23 an RNC radio network controller that will store the received data in a buffer until a predetermined condition is met. In particular, Kanterakis teaches an accumulation timer for storing the receive packets in the buffer and upon expiration of the timer the data is forwarded to mobile devices. Kanterakis teaches in col. 14 lines 10-24 the RNC is comprised in a base station, therefore the base station is equated to the base station of Maggenti.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti to include utilizing a storing data until a predetermined condition. One would be motivated as such in Art Unit: 2477

order increase the efficiency of a network by removing bursty data transmissions (col. 3 lines 28-29).

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein the predetermined condition is one of a predetermined number of requests.

Dan teaches a system for multicasting data as the primary reference (see abstract). Dan further teaches in **col. 3 lines 21-45** teaches a condition for the multicasting of stored data is the receipt of a threshold amount of requests for the multicast group.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a condition of a predetermined number of received requests. One would be motivated as such in order simplify a network by reducing the buffer requirement at the network elements.

Regarding claim 26, Maggenti teaches wherein one or both of a request and a file is transmitted between the apparatus and the first host via a cellular communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the base station and the mobile device are in a cellular network, where the mobile device sends requests to the base station) and the location of the further host is defined in terms of a cell, so that the group is limited to further hosts situated in an area covered by a single cell (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the mobile

Art Unit: 2477

devices are located in the same coverage area which is interpreted as a cell).

Regarding claim 27, Maggenti teaches further configured to forward a file over a wireless communication network, being the last network element (base station 104) located before an air-interface in a file delivery path between the first host and one or more further hosts (fig. 3, col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches a base station 104 to forward the file over the wireless network between the source and the mobile devices).

Regarding claim 31, Maggenti teaches further configured to receive requests from the further hosts via a first communication path and to forward data to the further hosts via a second communication path, separate from the first communication path (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches receiving requests from a first path and multicasting the data to a second network)

Regarding claim 32, Maggenti teaches wherein the first communication path and the second communication path comprise separate networks (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches a first and second path in separate networks, also see fig.3).

Art Unit: 2477

Regarding claim 33, Maggenti teaches a method comprising receiving a request for a file from a first host (mobile device) at a network element (base station) (col. 12 line 33-67 teaches receiving a request for multicast data at a base station);

retrieving the file from a second host (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches receiving the multicast data from the source);

storing the file in a cache (col. 15 lines 42-49 teaches a storage device 808 for storing data) associated with the network element;

defining, by a network element, a group including the first host (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches adding the mobile to a multicast group);

waiting for a period of time until a predetermined condition is met where

(col. 13 lines 10-14 teaches a time period as the predetermined condition), if

further requests for said file are received by the network element from one or

more other hosts before the period of time expires, then said one or more other

hosts are added to the group (col. 13 lines 1-52 teaches the base station

includes a countdown timer for receiving a response from the mobile

devices to join a multicast. Upon expiration of the timer, no responses to

join the group will be admitted); and

forwarding the file to the first host and to any other hosts in said group, wherein the group is limited to the first host and other hosts situated at the same location as the first host (col. 12 line 33-67 teaches forwarding the multicast

Application/Control Number: 10/529,257

Art Unit: 2477

data and limiting the multicast group to the geographic region of the serving base station).

However Maggenti does not expressly disclose storing the file in a cache associated with the network element; and wherein the predetermined condition is one of a receipt of a predetermined number of requests and expiry of a time limit.

Page 8

Kanterakis teaches in col. 3 lines 60 to col. 4 line 23 an RNC radio network controller that will store the received data in a buffer (cache) until a predetermined condition is met. In particular, Kanterakis teaches an accumulation timer for storing the received packets in the buffer and upon expiration of the timer the data is forwarded to mobile devices. Kanterakis teaches in col. 14 lines 10-24 the RNC is comprised in a base station, therefore the base station is equated to the base station of Maggenti.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti to include utilizing a storing data until a predetermined condition. One would be motivated as such in order increase the efficiency of a network by removing bursty data transmissions (col. 3 lines 28-29).

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein the predetermined condition is one of a predetermined number of requests.

Dan teaches a system for multicasting data as the primary reference (see abstract). Dan further teaches in **col. 3 lines 21-45** teaches a condition for the

Art Unit: 2477

multicasting of stored data is the receipt of a threshold amount of requests for the multicast group.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a condition of a predetermined number of received requests. One would be motivated as such in order simplify a network by reducing the buffer requirement at the network elements.

Regarding claim 34, Maggenti teaches wherein one or both of a request and the file is transmitted between the network element (base station) and the first host (mobile device) via a cellular communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the base station and the mobile device are in a cellular network) and another host is considered to be at the same location as the first host if it is situated in an area covered by the same cell (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the mobile devices are located in the same coverage area which is interpreted as a cell).

Regarding claim 35, Maggenti teaches wherein the file is forwarded over a wireless communication network, the network element (base station) being the last network element before an air-interface in a file delivery path between the second host (source device) and the first host (mobile device) (fig. 3, col. 12)

Art Unit: 2477

lines 33-67 teaches a base station 104 to forward the file over the wireless network between the source and the mobile devices).

Regarding claim 39, Maggenti teaches wherein the request is received via a first communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches receiving a request at the base station over a wireless network) and the file is forwarded via a second communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67, fig. 3 suggest the file is forwarded from a source from either network 118 or 316).

Regarding claim 40, Maggenti teaches a memory (storage 808 col. 15 lines 42-49) storing computer-executable instructions that, when executed,

cause an apparatus (base station) at least to perform receiving a request for a file from a first host (mobile device) at the apparatus (col. 12 line 33-67 teaches receiving a request for multicast data at a base station);

retrieving the file from a second host (source device) (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches receiving the multicast data from the source);

storing the data in a cache associated with the apparatus (col. 15 lines 42-49 teaches a storage device 808 for storing data):

defining a group including the first host (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches adding the mobile to a multicast group);

waiting for a period of time until a predetermined condition is met (col. 13 lines 10-14 teaches a time period as the predetermined condition) where, if further requests for said file are received by the network element from one or

Art Unit: 2477

more other hosts before the period of time expires, then said one or more other hosts are added to the group (col. 13 lines 1-52 teaches the base station includes a countdown timer for receiving a response from the mobile devices to join a multicast. Upon expiration of the timer, no responses to join the group will be admitted); and

forwarding the file to the first host and to any other hosts in said group, wherein the group is limited to the first host and other hosts situated at a same location as the first host (col. 13 lines 1-52 teaches the base station includes a countdown timer for receiving a response from the mobile devices to join a multicast. Upon expiration of the timer, no responses to join the group will be admitted).

However Maggenti does not expressly disclose storing the file in a cache associated with the network element; and wherein the predetermined condition is one of a receipt of a predetermined number of request.

Kanterakis teaches in col. 3 lines 60 to col. 4 line 23 an RNC radio network controller that will store the received data in a buffer (cache) until a predetermined condition is met. In particular, Kanterakis teaches an accumulation timer for storing the received packets in the buffer and upon expiration of the timer the data is forwarded to mobile devices. Kanterakis teaches in col. 14 lines 10-24 the RNC is comprised in a base station, therefore the base station is equated to the base station of Maggenti.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti to include utilizing a storing data until a predetermined condition. One would be motivated as such in order increase the efficiency of a network by removing bursty data transmissions (col. 3 lines 28-29).

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein the predetermined condition is one of a predetermined number of requests and an expiry of a timer.

Dan teaches a system for multicasting data as the primary reference (see abstract). Dan further teaches in **col. 3 lines 21-45** teaches a condition for the multicasting of stored data is the receipt of a threshold amount of requests for the multicast group.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a condition of a predetermined number of received requests. One would be motivated as such in order simplify a network by reducing the buffer requirement at the network elements.

Regarding claim 42, Maggenti teaches wherein one or both of a request and the file is transmitted between the network element (base station) and the first host via a cellular communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the base station and the mobile device are in a cellular network, where the

Art Unit: 2477

mobile device sends requests to the base station) and another host (mobile device) is considered to be at the same location as the first host if it is situated in an area covered by the same cell (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches the mobile devices are located in the same coverage area which is interpreted as a cell).

Regarding claim 43, Maggenti teaches wherein the file is forwarded over a wireless communication network, the network element (base station) being the last network element before an air-interface in a file delivery path between the second host (source device) and the first host (mobile device) (fig. 3, col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches a base station 104 forwarding the file over the wireless network between the source and the mobile devices).

Regarding claim 47, Maggenti teaches the wherein the request is received via a first communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67 teaches receiving a request at the base station over a wireless network), and the file is forwarded via a second communications network (col. 12 lines 33-67, fig. 3 suggest the file is forwarded from a source from either network 118 or 316).

5. Claims 28, 36, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maggenti and Kanterakis in view of Taylor (US Publication No. 2003/0043760 A1).

Regarding claim 28, Maggenti and Kanterakis teach an apparatus as the parent claim.

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein said apparatus comprises a router.

Taylor teaches in par. 5 a base station. Taylor further teaches in par. 5 the base station comprises a router.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a base station comprising a router. One would be motivated as such in order to route packets through the system par. 5.

Regarding claim 36, Maggenti and Kanterakis teaches a method as the parent claim.

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein the network element comprises a router.

Taylor teaches in par. 5 a base station. Taylor further teaches in par. 5 the base station comprises a router.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a base station comprising a router. One would be motivated as such in order to route packets through the system col. 4 lines 17-41.

Regarding claim 44, Maggenti and Kanterakis teaches computer readable medium as the parent claim.

However Maggenti and Kanterakis do not expressly disclose wherein the network element comprises a router.

Taylor teaches in par. 5 a base station. Taylor further teaches in par. 5 the base station comprises a router.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Maggenti and Kanterakis to include a base station comprising a router. One would be motivated as such in order to route packets through the system col. 4 lines 17-41.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2477

7. Any response to this action should be **faxed** to (571) 173-8300 or **mailed** to:

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL MITCHELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chirag G. Shah can be reached on 571-272-3144. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2477

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 2477

/Chirag G Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477